## JAMUL DULZURA COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP FINAL MINUTES

## Tuesday, October 11, 2011 Approved October 25, 2011 Oak Grove Middle School Library 7:30 pm

- 1. Call to Order: Michael Casinelli called the meeting to order at 7:38 p.m.
- 2. Roll Call: Present: Janet Mulder, Michael Casinelli, William Herde, Dan Neirinckx, Judy Bohlen, Yvonne Purdy Luxton, Raymond Deitchman, Jonathan Shultz, Randy White, Dan Kjonegaard,

**Absent:** Earl Katzer

Excused: Jean Strouf, Steve Wragg, Yvonne Purdy-Luxton, Preston Brown

- 3. Motion to approve the Agenda for October 10, 2011 as posted 72 hours before the meeting and the minutes of Sept 27, 2011. Motion carried unanimously.
- 4. Open Forum Opportunity for public to speak on any item not on the agenda,
  - **a. Michael Casinelli** received an email from Kristina Jeffers TPM21045 stating the project has been withdrawn. It is on Skyline Truck Trail and tried to do a 2-lot split but could not do it with the new slope requirements.
  - **b. Michael Casinelli** reported that the 7-11 on Campo Road and Steele Canyon gas tank is leaking and has been since 2003. We listened to a phone voice message from Richard Wright who was concerned that the tank had not been replaced, or had it been replaced. He felt that Regional Water Quality and Sweetwater Authority should be involved. **Randy White** will respond and get the info to the Planning Group on the 25<sup>th</sup> and respond to the Environmental Health (DEH) by October 27.
  - **c. Randy White Honey Springs Ranch** is now owned by Fish and Game and they want to build two ponds for dog training which he feels would drain the groundwater from neighboring properties. He would question why they do not want to use the lake on the old Daley property rather than draw down wells in the area. **Michael Casinelli** asked Randy to get hold of Tracy Nelson <a href="mailto:tnelson@dfg.ca.gov">tnelson@dfg.ca.gov</a> of Fish and Game and ask her to confirm or deny these allegations.
  - d. Ray Deitchman and Bill Herde will not be at the next meeting.
- 5. TPM 21069 Sajady Babel Planning Commission Appeal Dan Neirinckx Sarah Gingles Appellant Dan Neirinckx told us that the Planning Commission denied the appeal. The appellant has 10 days in which to appeal after depositing \$500.
- 6. FCI Lands Dan Neirinckx The Forest Conservation Initiative limited building on the National forests to over 40-acres under the old General Plan. Under the new GP, they have marked property that would be affected and the County needs to bring these lands into conformance with the new General Plan as the FCI had expired returning the land to its original acreage. The County

will send letters to all affected property owners alerting them to the proposed changes and will come before our Planning Group in January. The three areas include one along Lyons Valley Road about 1-mile east of four corners; the second is at the end of Deerhorn and includes Spice, Cinnamon, etc; and the third is in the north east corner of Cleveland National Forest. Randy White asked how can we be sure that all of the owners will be contacted, and we may want to send out additional letters informing the owners.

# 7. Community Evacuation Route Study for Jamul Dulzura –Community Evacuation Route Study, report by Dan Kjonegaard as follows:

A public, community meeting was held 10-6-11; it convened at 7 PM, at the Dulzura Community Building. The meeting had been noticed in both October editions of the Dulzura-Barrett Newsline and the Jamul Shopper. The meeting was conducted by Dan Kjonegaard, a member of the Jamul Dulzura CPG and CERS Committee. Also in attendance were Yvonne Purdy-Luxton and Dale Fuller, both members of the JDCPG and Stacy Magoffin (Barrett resident), member of the CERS Committee. By head count there were 40 adults in attendance, all residents of the communities of Dulzura, Barrett or Deerhorn Valley areas.

Presentation process described as follows:

- 1. The presenter gave history on how this meeting came about; at the 6-28-11 JDCPG meeting the voting members in attendance voted not to recommend any of the 10 identified routes for recommendation to the BOS. A CERS Committee meeting was held at the DPLU on 9-1-11, for the purpose of reviewing possible recommendations to the BOS. At that meeting a discussion between Stacy Magoffin and Dan Kjonegaard resulted in this follow up meeting to be held in Dulzura community input on the three routes that directly affect that area and not the Jamul area. At the 9-13-11 JDCPG meeting Dan Kjonegaard, while reporting on the 9-1-11 meeting, brought up this conversation. The CPG was informed that he would conduct a meeting to be held in Dulzura on the three routes that affect them, a vote would be conducted and the results would be brought back to the CPG for their action at the 10-11-11 meeting.
- 2. Background on how the Community Evacuation Route process began was described; the BOS directed the DPLU to conduct this study in two CPG areas, Valley Center and Jamul / Dulzura. The notification to the two CPG's by the DPLU occurred in May 2010 and requested their participation in the project consultant selection process (Fehr & Peers Transportation Consultants were selected). Formation of two CERS Committees (representing the two CPG areas), was comprised of various agencies, and community members. The ultimate goal was to recommend Community Evacuation Routes in the respective communities for both for the escape in time of emergency but also to allow emergency responders access to these areas. In identifying these routes the committee was told that while being practical, this is a wish list with no concern for right-of-way or costs. These items would be dealt with in future study and evaluation.
- 3. Committee members were asked to identify the population centers and potential evacuation routes for their use. Initially 18 corridors were identified, following further research by the project consultant team, and a field visit conducted by the project consultants with the community committee members, 8 corridors were eliminated. These eliminations were primarily based on population numbers and topographical restrictions, leaving a total of 10 corridors, 7 being in the Jamul

area and 3 in the Dulzura area. If by a vote of the CPG in favor of any or all of these corridors, they would have been included in a report to the BOS recommending their inclusion into the General Plan Transportation Element and identified as a Community Evacuation Route. These routes would also be required to be built to the road classification of Rural Residential, requiring 2/12' lanes, 48' of ROW, and to be surfaced. They would be a public road with no gates. These 10 proposed routes were presented to the JDCPG and the public at their regular scheduled meeting on 6-28-11 by DPLU staff and Fehr & Peers (project consultants). Following the presentation and public input the CPG voted not to recommend inclusion of any of the 10 proposed routes to the BOS (meeting minutes are available online at the County website).

- 4. Financing of these routes; attendee's of this meeting need to be aware that the County does not build / pay for new roads; rather they are funded from several sources. TIF funds (funds from developers who are required to install roads for their developments), County funds (generally road maintenance), Assessment Districts (the community / immediate users create these by a 2/3 acceptance vote of those people paying for the project), and Grants (Federal or State funds).
- 5. Provided in the handout for Corridors 11, 12, & 16 were a copy of; Corridors Priorities map, Corridors Feasibility Screening table, Corridor Benefit/ Effectiveness table, and Preliminary Engineer's Construction Estimate for each of the three corridors. Each of these handouts and how they were used to establish the corridors were discussed, as was the differences between a Primary Corridor and Secondary Corridor (Corridor 16 being identified as a secondary).

At this point a vote was taken on each corridor; the votes were counted by Yvonne Purdy-Luxton and Dan Kjonegaard.

Corridor 11; For 29 Against 6 Corridor 12; For 31 Against 6 Corridor 16 For 31 Against 1

The attendees were told that this was an item on the 10-11-11 JDCPG meeting and would be discussed and action taken on it.

Meeting adjourned at 8 PM.

At that time I was given 32 individually signed letters supporting the evacuation routes.

Randy White asked if the people at the meeting were all from Dulzura, and Dan Kjonegaard pointed out that they were not required to tell their addresses.

**Dana Mottola pointed** out that the previous JDCPG vote did not consider the Dulzura community's desires in their motion and urged a revote. **Scott Tulloch** pointed out that they had lived there for many years and appreciated Dan Kjonegaard coming out to Dulzura and would ask that the previous vote be reconsidered as there were many problems on those roads that need to be re-looked at.

**Bill Mottola** has lived there for years and witnessed the 2007 fires and asked us to remember that this was a "wish list" and pointed out that they did not have an escape route and if they had, there might have been fewer lives lost. He also pointed out that the people in attendance at the meeting were all from Dulzura. **Tom Helms** stated that the fact that if the fire was coming from the other direction or up the hill there would have been even more loss of life, property

#### **Community Evacuation Route Study Motions 10-11-11**

Motion was made by Judy Bohlen that the motion made and approved at the 6-28-11 meeting of the JDCPG on the Community Evacuation Route Study be reopened for discussion and possible amendment. Motion carried unanimously.

**Judy Bohlen commented** that she was concerned about the fact that she does not feel that people understood that this was a proposal for a future time and feels that we will pay for our vote that possible evacuation routes are not to be considered

Motion was made by Judy Bohlen that in light of the input received from community members at the special meeting, held 10-6-11 in Dulzura on the Community Evacuation Route Study. That the JDCPG modify its vote on this item taken at the 6-28-11 meeting to recommend that Corridors 11, 12, &16 be submitted for inclusion into the report being prepared under DPLU's direction. This report is to request that these corridors be considered under the guidelines and identified as Community Evacuation Routes to the Board of Supervisors for future consideration. Motion carried unanimously.

**Randy White** wants to make sure that all are aware that these are a wish list and that none of them are guaranteed to be built. Dan Kjonegaard stated that right from the beginning, we all knew this was a wish list and only a possibility for future planning.

**Michael Casinelli asked** whether we need to reconsider any of the other areas as well. Bill Herde said that we voted on those with the information we had at the time, and we could consider reopening at a later time.

**8. Jamul Indian Village Casino Update – Michael Casinelli** reminded us that at the last meeting concern was raised regarding the building on the Jamul Indian Village. He sent the following letter that is attached and made a part of these minutes:

# JAMUL DULZURA COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP P.O. Box 613, Jamul, California 91935

Laurie Berman, Director District 11 California Department of Transportation 4050 Taylor Street San Diego, CA 92110 October 6, 2011

Dear Ms Berman:

I am writing to you as Chair of the Jamul Dulzura Community Planning Group, which is duly and legally elected to represent our community in an advisory capacity to the San Diego County Board of Supervisors on planning matters that impact our communities. In this capacity, members of our group met several times with your predecessor, Pedro Orso Delgado, voicing our concerns regarding possible significant impacts of the Jamul Indian Village's proposed casino. Mr. Delgado assured us that the

JDCPG would be kept advised of any proposals presented to Caltrans, which might impact the traffic on SR 94 including, but not limited to, an application for an encroachment permit.

At the present time, there is construction occurring on the land in question, which appears to be a building that we have been informed will contain gaming operations. This would entail an unprecedented volume of general public ingress and egress from the subject property onto SR 94, thereby impacting public safety on an already over-burdened two-lane highway that is the only way in and out of our community. According to a Caltrans statement sent to the County of San Diego, signed by Gus Silva, Native American Liaison, "...the Tribe's construction of a Tribal Hall should not lead to an increase in traffic volumes at the Tribe's driveway to State Route 94 beyond the historical levels. Accordingly, the Department is not taking any action in regards to the current construction activity at this time. The Department will work with the Tribe to address any safety concern that might be identified." (Emphasis mine) Our question is, what are the "historical levels" of this very small Jamul Indian Village that we could expect to ingress and egress SR 94? Our information is that they are planning major increase in traffic, so our question is, if the Jamul Indian Village exceeds these "historical levels" what power does Caltrans have to stop or limit the number of vehicles that ingress and egress onto SR 94?

In our meetings mentioned above, we were led to believe that Caltrans would allow ingress and egress without an encroachment permit for residential use only, and because of the severity of the impact to our community, we were assured that we would be kept "in the loop" as far as further meetings between the Jamul Indian Village and Caltrans regarding the use of SR 94.

We, therefore, respectfully request a meeting that would include a small representative group from our Jamul Dulzura Community Planning Group and you as Director, to ensure open communication as promised. Please advise as to acceptable dates and times, and we will make it happen. Thank you.

Michael Casinelli, Chair Jamul Dulzura Community Planning Group (619) 248-8686 info.masters@cox.net

cc: Supervisor Dianne Jacob

Michael Casinelli received an email from Robert Spanbauer of Supervisor Jacob's office telling us that Supervisor Jacob met with Laurie Berman and reiterated many of the concerns we highlighted in our letter to Caltrans. She also met with Jacob Appelsmith, Governor Jerry Brown's Senior Policy Advisor who is overseeing the Jamul Indian Village issue and shared with him some history. They sent us the letters from Caltrans reiterating their position to the Jamul Indian Village that any direct access off of SR94 will require environmental studies associated with encroachment permits. The information included the following:

7/31/2003 – Letter from Caltrans regarding Peaceful Valley Ranch
 1/6/2004 - Letter from Caltrans regarding Peaceful Valley Ranch
 9/10/2004 - Letter from Governor Schwarzenegger
 8/2/2006 - Letter from Caltrans regarding access onto SR94
 10/10/2006 Letter from Caltrans regarding access onto SR94
 1/17/2007 Letter from Caltrans regarding access onto SR94
 4/27/2007 Letter from Caltrans regarding access onto SR94
 12/23/2007 U-T Editorial on Jamul driveway work
 1/18/2008 Letter from Caltrans regarding access onto SR94

Randy White brought up the Cariceri Supreme Court Decision and asked why the Supreme Court decision was not being enforced? Michael Casinelli reminded him of the two "Carcieri fix" bills that are going through the House and Senate to

invalidate the Supreme Courts ruling, and suggests if we think the decision is correct, we should email our Congressman to alert him to our position.

### 9. JDCPG Officers Announcements and Reports

Historic Site Board meeting October 17, 2011 – nothing in our Planning area.

Michael Casinelli adjourned the meeting 9:40 PM, reminding us that the next regular meeting is October 25, 2011 at 7:30 p.m. at OAK GROVE MIDDLE SCHOOL LIBRARY.

Respectfully submitted,
Janet Mulder, Secretary
Meeting minutes and agendas can be accessed at
<a href="http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dplu/CommunityGroups.html">http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dplu/CommunityGroups.html</a>.